

UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes January 7-8, 1971

1-07-1971

Pages 126-153

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

January 7-8, 1971

The Board of Regents met on the above dates in the Donald C.

Moyer Campus Student Union, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.

Members present: Fred M. Anderson, M. D.

Mr. James H. Bilbray

Mr. Archie C. Grant

Mr. Procter Hug, Jr.

Mr. Harold Jacobsen

Mrs. William Knudtsen

Louis Lombardi, M. D.

Mr. Paul Mc Dermott

Mr. William Morris

Mr. Mel Steninger

Miss Helen R. Thompson

Others present: Chancellor Neil D. Humphrey

President N. Edd Miller, UNR

President R. J. Zorn, UNLV

Director Charles R. Donnelly, CCD

Director John M. Ward, DRI

Vice President Donald H. Baepler, UNLV

Vice President James T. Anderson, UNR

Deputy Attorney General Gene Barbagelata

Mr. Edward L. Pine, Business Manager, UNR

Mr. Herman Westfall, Business Manager, UNLV

Mr. Edward Olsen, Director of Information, UNR

Mr. Mark Hughes, Director of Information, UNLV

Dr. Don Fowler, Faculty Senate Chairman, DRI

Dr. John Vergiels, Faculty Senate Chairman,

UNLV

Miss Frankie Sue Del Papa, ASUN President

Mr. Richard Myers, CSUN President

The meeting was called to order on Thursday, January 7, 1971, by

Chairman Hug at 5:02 P.M.

Mr. Hug stated that he did not wish to seek re-election to the office of Chairman. He expressed appreciation for the oppor-

tunity to serve during the past two years and for the cooperation he had received from Regents, the officers of the University and from faculty and student representatives. Mr. Hug noted the lessening of tensions between the north and south and the harmony which is evident among the four Divisions. He said he believed the four Divisions were working together very smoothly, due, he added, to the very hard work by everyone concerned to effect this kind of progress.

Mr. Hug called for nominations for Chairman.

Mr. Grant nominated Mr. Harold Jacobsen for the Office of Chairman. Dr. Lombardi seconded the nomination.

There being no further nominations, Mr. Hug ordered the nominations closed and instructed the Secretary to cast a unanimous ballot for Mr. Jacobsen.

Mr. Hug called for nominations for Vice Chairman.

Dr. Lombardi nominated Mr. Paul Mc Dermott for the Office of Vice Chairman. Dr. Anderson seconded the nomination.

There being no further nominations, Mr. Hug ordered the nomina-

tions closed and instructed the Secretary to cast a unanimous ballot for Mr. Mc Dermott.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that Mrs. Bonnie Smotony be elected Secretary of the Board.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried unanimously that Mrs. Smotony be elected Secretary of the Board.

Mr. Jacobsen assumed the Chair and made the following statement:

"I recognize that this is a great honor and approach it certainly with a degree of apprehension and yet eagerly looking forward to the challenge.

"Our responsibility is clearly set out in the Constitution of the State of Nevada. We are responsible for all education beyond the high school level.

"We have in the past delegated our authority to the Chancellor, to the Presidents of the two sister Universities, to the Director of DRI and to the Director of Community Colleges. This delegation of authority continues downward to anyone given administrative assignments.

"During my tenure of office, I shall insist that not only do we delegate authority but also the responsibility that goes with it.

I cannot see us as being involved in administrative decisions, so long as they are responsible and representative of the thinking of this Board of Regents. When they are not, I feel that it is our duty to relieve the particular Administrator of his duties.

"I believe that I and the other members of this Board have been receptive to new ideas, many of which have become the policy of the University System. On the other hand, we have not always gone along with recommendations and have at times not changed our position.

"I see the Regents Handbook as a living document. We live by policies as they are set out but we recognize that they are imperfect and we will change if we can be shown that change is necessary.

"I am for change if needed and indicated, but I am not for change just for sake of change.

"I appreciate this great challenge and the responsibility you

have given me. I look to the other Regents, the Administration, the faculty and students and the people of the State of Nevada for new ideas and support of this University System.

"I believe that one must insist on academic integrity in our teachers. This includes such virtues as judgment based on facts, honesty, responsibility and knowledge and love of their subjects, rational behavior, and pride in our Universities and all that they stand for.

"I believe that we have the responsibility to provide facilities and people capable of offering a first class education beyond the High School level. This includes all of our young people; those who seek the academic degrees and those who seek the associate degrees.

"The University System is for our young people -- it should provide the kind of education that best fits their needs. I believe that we must hear these young people, we should encourage them to tell us what they are thinking. We should make every effort to improve communication both ways.

"We must insist, however, that students recognize the rights of others -- that they recognize what a great privilege they have

in being one of our students. They must recognize that they have a very special right to a high education. That the right exists because of our respect for the rights of others.

"In conclusion, I want to thank you all for your confidence in me. I know that I follow 3 outstanding men, Mr. Grant, Dr. Anderson and Mr. Hug. I am privileged to have you all still on the Board. I shall look to everyone concerned, students, faculty, citizens and Regents for ideas and support in the years ahead."

Dr. Anderson moved that the Board of Regents go on record in expressing appreciation to Mr. Hug for his leadership during the past 2 years as Chairman of the Board and that an appropriate resolution be prepared for adoption by the Board at its next meeting. Motion seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 5:25 P.M.

The Board of Regents reconvened in regular session on Friday, January 8, 1971 at 9:05 A.M. under the Chairmanship of Mr. Jacobsen. All Regents and officers were present except Mr. Hug.

1. Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the regular session of December 11-12, 1970 were submitted for approval.

Dr. Lombardi moved that the minutes of the regular session be amended to reflect the actions of the Board in Executive Session in December in the matter of Dr. Paul Adamian.

Dr. Lombardi spoke in support of his motion by pointing out that there has been much conjecture and discussion concerning the Board's action. There has, he said, also been a great deal of misquoting of the facts and he believed it important that the record be clarified. He requested that it be made a matter of public record that 8 of the 9 Regents present in December had voted for dismissal of Dr. Adamian and that Regent Bilbray had cast the only dissenting vote.

Mr. Mc Dermott questioned whether or not it would be permissible to make it a matter of public information since the action was taken in Executive Session. He requested an opinion from the Deputy Attorney General. Mr.

Barbagelata suggested that disclosure of matter considered in Executive Session would be at the discretion of the Board.

Dr. Lombardi's motion was seconded by Dr. Anderson, and carried without dissent.

2. State Plan for Community Colleges in Nevada

Director Donnelly noted that the State Plan for Community Colleges in Nevada had been distributed to the Board prior to its meeting in December and preliminary discussion had been held at that time. Subsequently, the Plan had also been discussed with members of the Advisory Committees for the Elko Community College and for the proposed Community Colleges in Western Nevada and Clark County. In presenting the State Plan a second time, Dr. Donnelly made the following statement:

I am pleased to present the first phase of our State Plan for Community Colleges in the State of Nevada for your adoption. This plan has been reviewed by Advisory Committees in Clark County, Western Nevada and Elko and the Elko Community College faculty. We have also received many other comments, some of which I would like to comment on now.

A month ago I said that I hoped that this plan would result in the elimination of many false rumors, misunderstandings, and distortions concerning the Community College Program in the State of Nevada. Apparently it has not done that and I would like to now answer some of the points raised by many who appear to be still uninformed about Community Colleges and what they can do.

I want you to know that this report was not compiled by amateurs in Community College work. I came here last June after 24 years experience in Community Colleges. For 6 years I served as President of a Community College larger than any University in this State and one recognized nationally as one of the nation's best. This College had 19 modern buildings that cost over \$30 million. Almost half of these were built during that 6 year period. Enrollment increased 70% during that period and it led the State in vocational-technical programs, actually increasing the number of students in these programs from 20% of the total College enrollment to 50%. Its community service program is looked upon as a model throughout the world. Not only did we have visitors who wished our advice from all

parts of this country, but also from all over the world. Its student personnel programs have been copied by most Community Colleges because of their emphasis upon students, their activities, financial aids, counseling and developmental programs.

A Curriculum Specialist, Leon Van Doren, helped prepare this report. He has wide experience in different Community Colleges in Washington, Oregon and Hawaii as a Community College graduate, an Instructor, a Department Chairman, an Assistant Dean of Instruction and a Dean of Instruction. His last position in Hawaii was a position in the only State with an organization like that in the State of Nevada.

My experience as President of a large State association, as a member of several Statewide committees, especially accrediting teams, and as a consultant in several states initiating Community Colleges has helped immensely in preparing this report.

It was stated that the Community College Director would have to work closely with public school officials, State Department officials, and University officials.

Working with these groups was no new experience since our College in Michigan was a part of a public school district. On several occasions I was the Community College representative for 29 Community Colleges on State Department committees, such as tenure, curriculum and community service. The University of Michigan had a branch College on our Campus sharing the same library and in many cases with students a part of both Colleges.

I mention all these things because it was also pointed out in a position paper that it was essential to look to the Community College Director for the details of planning the development of a State System of Community Colleges with the advice of the Chancellor and the Board of Regents. The statements made by some indicate that at least some people would prefer to look to others who may be newspaper experts or educators skilled in other areas, but who have little knowledge of Community Colleges.

Whenever individuals have discussed this report in person, we have reached agreements. However, I am disappointed to see that others have chosen newspapers, radio, television and public officials as the media

for their disagreements with the report. I had really expected more courtesy than that. I refer to comments regarding duplication of programs, costs, quality of programs, and place of programs.

I suggested in my remarks to you a month ago that a major factor in all of our discussions has been that the Community College Division does not want duplication of programs. The report does say that eventually all 2-year programs of a post-secondary technical nature should be phased into the Community College. Priorities are now being established, but if a program is continued by either the University or the county schools then the Community College will not operate such a program.

It has also been stated that the Community College programs would cost more to the taxpayer than if the programs were continued at the University. An analysis of budgets and faculty loads does not show this conclusion. 2-year programs have cost from \$2400 to \$3600 per FTE student at the University compared to a budget estimate of \$1300 at the Community College. A Community College differs from a University in that it is a teaching institution whereas a University is a teaching and

research institution. Therefore, the teaching loads will be much higher at a Community College, making for lower costs.

There has been considerable misunderstanding of the open door policy of a Community College and the resultant fear that the quality of the current programs might be degraded. Open door means that all high school graduates or persons over the age of 18 who can profit from instruction offered will be admitted. However, admission only gets the student through the door.

Courses and curriculums are not open door and the strong guidance and counseling system assists the students in getting enrolled in the proper programs and courses. Thus, the entrance requirements for particular programs are not lowered, nor is the quality.

As a matter of fact, the Community College Division will insist upon all instructors in University parallel programs and in occupational programs insofar as possible having a Master's degree in the subject in which they teach and also considerable teaching experience.

Experience in a particular occupation may be substituted for the degree but this does not lessen the quality of instruction.

Another major criticism of the report states that Community Colleges are not needed in the Reno and Las Vegas areas. Statements such as these show little understanding of the role of a comprehensive Community College. We need Community Colleges in the Reno and Las Vegas areas because a Community College is essentially a commuter College and must be accessible to the majority of people. In the Reno and Las Vegas areas are where over 80% of the people in the State live. Over 4500 of the 6000 students who will graduate from high school in 1971 are from these areas. It is only in these areas that there are sufficient numbers of students to enable a Community College to develop vocational-technical programs at a minimum cost to the State. There should be no conflict with the two Universities in these areas because the Universities and Community Colleges serve different purposes. The Community College concentrates on occupational education preparing students for semi-professional and semi-skilled jobs whereas the Universities concentrate on preparing students for professional positions and graduate training. If Community Colleges are established specifically suited to middle manpower educa-

tion, then the Universities will be free to concentrate on the important task uniquely reserved for them -- a University education. There are several places in this country in almost every State where a Community College and University exist in the same city, some even on the same Campus, and both thrive because they compliment each other -- the University serving the professionally oriented student and the Community College the semi-professionally oriented student. Seattle, Washington; Eugene and Portland, Oregon; Honolulu, Hawaii; and Phoenix, Arizona are among the cities of this type in the western states.

The Community College also intends to serve the rural areas of the State by making its services available in every city of the State if the need arises. Again, the emphasis here would be upon providing vocational-technical courses to fit the needs of each area. By using qualified faculty in these areas, the Community College can offer these courses at the minimum cost to the State and still enable students to get a higher education in their home community even though it may take longer than 2 years to complete a program. The courses and programs will follow the middle manpower

needs of each individual community. However, because of the small number of students involved in these communities, existing facilities can be used.

We need Community Colleges to serve that segment of the population not now being served by the University.

About half of the approximately 6000 students who will graduate from high school this year cannot qualify for regular admission to the Universities, and even of the half that do qualify, on the basis of past experience, almost half of these will probably fail.

A Community College is needed to serve these people to help prepare them for jobs so that they can be useful citizens.

A national consulting firm is now in the process of providing facts and figures for possible site locations. This study builds upon the demographic studies currently being done by the Higher Educational Advisory Committee. A complete recommendation on possible sites will be presented to the Board of Regents in February.

One final criticism has been the lowering of prestige if a program is transferred to the Community College.

It shouldn't be necessary to remind everyone that the Community College Division is a part of the University of Nevada System and any degree or certificate it presents is presented by the University of Nevada with the full authority of the Board of Regents and consequently should carry the same prestige. This would follow closely the comments of John Gardner whose are included in the report on pages 90 and 91.

There are 3 major revisions in the report, as well as several minor ones. These are included in the report being handed to you now. (Report filed with permanent minutes.) Also included in this is a list of major items that passage of this plan would entail.

In my humble opinion, the action you take today could be one of the most significant actions ever passed by this Board, whereby passing this State Plan you will be leading the way for the establishment of a Statewide education system designed to permit all of the people of this State to partake of higher education, regardless of age, grade point average or family wealth.

Chancellor Humphrey stated that he endorsed the State Plan

as submitted by Dr. Donnelly and as suggested for amendment and recommended its adoption as amended. He submitted the following recommendations concerning the transfer of certificate and associate degree programs from UNR and UNLV to the Community College Division.

Director	President	Chancellor
Donnelly's	Miller's	Humphrey's
Recommend.	Recommend.	Recommend.

UNR

Ag. Air Service	N	N	N
Ag. Marketing Tech.	N	N	N
Ag. Mechnics	N	N	N
Farm & Ranch Mgmt.	N	N	N
Park & Turf Mgmt.	N	N	N
Fashion Trades	Y	N?	N
Food Service Mgmt.	Y	N?	Y
Prekindergarten Educ.	Y	N?	N
Nursing	Y	Y	Y
Business Tech.	Y	Y	Y
Office Admin.	Y	Y	Y
Law Enforcement	Y	N 4 '73	Y
Radiological Tech	Y	Y	Y

Elec. Eng. Tech.	Y	N 4 '73	Y
Eng. Design Tech.	Y	N 4 '73	Y

Director President Chancellor

Donnelly's Zorn's Humphrey's

Recommend. Recommend. Recommend.

UNLV

Fire Science	Y	Y	Y
Office Admin.	Y	Y	Y
Design Tech.	Y	Y	Y
Electronics Tech.	Y (D)	Y (D)	Y (D)
Law Enforcement	N 4 '73	N 4 '73	Y
Nursing	N (L)	N (L)	N (L)
Medical Records	N (L)	N (L)	N (L)
Radiation Tech.	N 4 '73	N 4 '73	N

Notes:

Y = Yes

N = No

4 '73 = Baccalaureate Status in 1973

? = Y or N but with reservation

D = Dual program in 1971-73

L = Transfer later than 1971-73

Chancellor Humphrey noted that in a number of instances, his recommendation differed from that of the President or that of Director Donnelly. He suggested that comments from each of the Division officers would be appropriate.

President Miller commented on the recommendation, particularly that involving Office Administration, Law Enforcement, Electrical Engineering Technology and Engineering Design Technology. He noted that Office Administration is part of a 4-year program offered by the College of Business Administration and requested that it be allowed to remain with that College. He noted that he was changing his earlier recommendation. He noted that a baccalaureate program in Law Enforcement is planned and the Regents have already approved a Phase I presentation of this proposal. He suggested that transfer of the present 2-year program would disrupt the planning for a baccalaureate program and for that reason requested that the 2-year program be allowed to remain with the Nevada Technical Institute.

President Miller continued his comments, noting that the Electrical Engineering Technology and Engineering Design

Technology are presently 2-year programs administered by the Nevada Technical Institute; however, serious consideration is presently being given to the possibility of developing 4-year programs in these areas. He recommended they remain with NTI during the next biennium after which, if the decision has been made that they will remain 2-year programs, they could then be transferred to the Community College Division.

Dean Weems spoke in reference to the two programs with which the College of Business is concerned; Business Technology offered by NTI under the sponsorship of Business Administration, and Office Administration, offered by the College. Dean Weems stated that although the College did not oppose the transfer of Business Technology, he did feel that there were some serious questions which deserved answers. He noted that Business Technology is a terminal level program in which a large percentage of the teaching is done by Graduate Teaching Fellows in the College of Business Administration. He further noted that the Graduate Fellows not only do a satisfactory and very economical job of teaching but also contribute to the building of the Master of Business Administration student body. He questioned whether the Community College would, with full-time

professional staff, perform the task as economically. Also, Dean Weems pointed out, the surplus Stead facilities are presently being used for classrooms and dormitories. He questioned whether the Community College could operate as economically from a physical plant standpoint.

Concerning the proposed transfer of the Office Administration 2-year program, Dean Weems stated that he was surprised to see this included, inasmuch as there had been no discussion of any kind with the faculty of the College of Business Administration concerning the disposition of this program. He noted that the associate degree in Office Administration is only the first 2 years of a 4-year program and is not separable. He suggested that transferring this program would seriously damage the 4-year program in which the baccalaureate degree is given. Dean Weems stated that he strongly opposed transfer of this program.

Dr. Donnelly stated that he believed Office Administration should be a separate 2-year program leading to a terminal degree that would equip a person for the employment market.

Dean Weems said he was agreeable to letting the Community College develop a secretarial program as needed but he did

not want the Community College to touch the Office Administration program. He stated that he had no objection to what Dr. Donnelly wishes to do; he just wanted to protect his 4-year program.

President Miller recommended that the College of Business Administration be permitted to continue offering the associate degree since it is in fact the first 2 years of a 4-year degree program.

Mr. Bilbray expressed opposition, noting that it was the understanding that in undertaking the Community College System, it would be that Division's responsibility to offer less-than-baccalaureate degree programs.

Mrs. Knudtsen suggested that a 2-year program in this area offered by the Community College would serve a different type of student than would the College of Business Administration and would not necessarily duplicate the first 2 years of an existing 4-year program.

Mr. Harry Wolf, Director of the Nevada Technical Institute, spoke in support of retention by the University of Nevada, Reno, of the programs in Law Enforcement, Electrical Engi-

neering Technology and Engineering Design Technology, offered under the supervision of Nevada Technical Institute.

He commented on the increasing demand which he said will be generated in Nevada for technicians and spoke in support of development of these programs into 4-year baccalaureate programs. Mr. Wolf requested the Board to approve the retention of these programs by NTI and their development into 4-year programs.

President Miller restated his recommendation that the Board approve an indefinite continuation of the associate degree in Office Administration by the College of Business Administration and that transfer of the two engineering programs be delayed until the Board can consider proposals for 4-year programs in these areas. President Miller further noted that the Board would receive a Phase II presentation on a baccalaureate degree program in Law Enforcement in February.

Dr. Anderson referred to a number of letters which he stated Mr. Hug had received and had asked him to bring to the attention of the other members of the Board. He noted that letters had been received from Attorney General Robert List; Mr. Frank Fahrenkopf, an instructor in the

program; Sheriff Robert Galli; Undersheriff O'Boyle; Justice Jon Collins, Sheriff Bob Humphrey and former District Attorney William Raggio, in which they had recommended that the Law Enforcement program remain with UNR, particularly in view of the fact that it is scheduled to become a 4-year program in 1973.

Dr. Donnelly stated that, in his opinion, a 2-year program offered by the Community College Division should not interfere with eventual development of a 4-year program at the University level.

President Miller stated that he believed the Board should allow time for a decision to be made on whether the Law Enforcement programs can move to 4-year status.

Dr. James Anderson, representing the College of Engineering, spoke in support of the request to allow the Electrical Engineering Technology and the Engineering Design Technology programs to remain with NTI pending their development into 4-year programs. If these programs do not move to 4-year status, he said, they should then be transferred to the Community College Division. Dr. Anderson also spoke in support of the retention of the Law Enforce-

ment program for 2 years to see if it were feasible to develop into a 4-year program.

Mr. Steninger suggested that it might be helpful if Mr. Humphrey were to explain where his recommendations differ from those of the Presidents or Director Donnelly's and the basis for his recommendations.

Mr. Humphrey stated that because of the differences of opinion which had been expressed, it could be assumed that there has been a major fight; however, this had not been the case. He pointed out that cooperation with the Community College Division has been very good. There are a few remaining matters on which the consensus has not been reached, he noted, and therefore, the Board must make a decision based on the information and the recommendations submitted.

Mr. Humphrey stated that members of the University have long been saying that a major problem was that we could not truly be a University because the institution must serve all the State's needs including the need for Junior College and technical programs. Also, faculty have frequently complained about the requirement of tailoring

courses to various levels.

Chancellor Humphrey continued by pointing out that the University System agreed to accept the Community College program, after it was started by others, as a part of the System because it was believed that the least possible duplication would occur if coordination were handled within the System. He stated that, in his opinion, the University now has the opportunity with the Community College to produce on its promises -- the Universities can now truly be Universities by moving any certificate or associate degree program to the Community College Division unless there is a special reason for continued association with a College; e. g., the agricultural programs. If certificate or associate degree programs are left with the Universities, they should come under the control of a College faculty and significant priority should be given to making them baccalaureate programs as soon as possible. If Law Enforcement is to become a baccalaureate program, it should be left with the University. If sufficient priority and resources are not to be given to effect this change, the program should be transferred to the Community College Division.

Chancellor Humphrey stated that, in addition to the philosophy which had influenced his recommendation, budgetary limitations for new programs for 1971-73 had also been considered. At this point, he said, the outlook for new programs in the next biennium is very dim. To assume that if you leave these programs on the University Campus and give them emphasis is not valid if the Universities are not willing to devote the resources at this time to making them baccalaureate programs. Unless this is to happen, they belong in the Community College Division.

Dr. Zorn stated that he strongly welcomed the Community College Division in Las Vegas. The need to serve an ever-increasing population makes it desirable that increased emphasis be put in the CCD as a parallel to the University. Dr. Zorn stated that he was not concerned about the awarding of associate degrees and believes this appropriate for CCD with only two reservations. He noted that Law Enforcement is increasingly in demand at the baccalaureate level and he did not believe it wise for the University System to continue a 2-year program in this area. He recommended that the Universities get their priorities in order to allow for 4-year programs in 1973. He recommended that Law Enforcement not be transferred to the Community

College Division. Dr. Zorn stated that his second reservation concerned Radiologic Technology but noted that there was agreement that it was not appropriate to transfer this program to CCD.

Mr. Morris moved that those programs on which agreement had been reached by all 3 officers involved be transferred to the Community College Division (i. e., A. A. Nursing, UNR; Business Technology, UNR; Radiation Technology, UNR; Fire Science, UNLV; Office Administration, UNLV; Design Technology, UNLV and Electronics Technology, UNLV). Motion seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent.

Mr. Bilbray moved that the following programs also be moved to the Community College Division:

Office Administration, UNR

Food Service Management, UNR

Law Enforcement, UNR and UNLV

Electrical Engineering Technology, UNR

Engineering Design Technology, UNR

Motion seconded by Miss Thompson.

President Miller requested that the programs referred to earlier (Electrical Engineering Technology, Engineering Design Technology and Law Enforcement) be retained by UNR for a grace period of 2 years while the Administration explores the possibility of 4-year programs. If the decision is made at the end of that time that they should remain at the 2-year level, they could then be transferred.

Mr. Jacobsen asked if the 2-year Law Enforcement program were transferred, would that remove the basis for a 4-year program. Dr. Miller said it could cause problems.

Mrs. Knudtsen asked if a 4-year program is developed at the University, would that preclude a 2-year program in the Community College Division.

Dr. Zorn pointed out that what is needed is a 4-year program in Law Enforcement and the University should be planning for it. If the existing programs are moved out, it would remove the people who are in a position to develop a baccalaureate program.

In response to a question concerning projected enrollment in Law Enforcement, Mr. Wolf stated that there are presently

108 students enrolled in Law Enforcement classes at UNR and

it is estimated that 80% would go on into 4-year programs.

(Note to minutes: 6 week Fall enrollment report, Fall 1970,

indicates 45 headcount and 16.6 FTE enrollment in Law

Enforcement.)

Dr. Zorn stated that although UNLV presently has only one

professional position in Law Enforcement, they have the

essence of a 2-year program and regard it as a base from

which to build a 4-year program.

Dr. Donnelly stated that he did not oppose a 4-year program

in Law Enforcement nor did he argue against the need for

this kind of training for Law Enforcement personnel. How-

ever, he pointed out that the State needs the 2-year pro-

gram which he noted is designed to upgrade the already

employed Law Enforcement Officer; a program, he pointed

out, which is appropriate for a Community College.

The Chairman called for a vote on Mr. Bilbray's motion,

requesting that the votes be recorded on each program

separately. Results were as follows:

Office Administration, UNR - transferred by vote of

affirmative, 4 negative.

Law Enforcement, UNR and UNLV - not transferred by
vote of 6 negative, 3 affirmative.

Electrical Engineering Technology, UNR - transferred
by vote of 5 affirmative, 4 negative.

Engineering Design Technology, UNR - transferred by
vote of 5 affirmative, 4 negative.

Food Service Management, UNR - transferred with no
dissent.

Miss Thompson moved that the associate degree program in
Fashion Trades, UNR, be transferred to the Community
College Division. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, failed
with 4 affirmative, 5 negative.

3. College of Education Building Revenue Bonds

Chancellor Humphrey reported that bids for \$1,200,000 of
UNR revenue bonds for the College of Education building
had been opened at 10 A.M. that morning and had been

reviewed by Mr. Edward Pine, UNR Business Manager, and Mr. Nick Smith, Vice President, Burrows, Smith and Co., the University's revenue bond advisor.

Mr. Pine reported that 3 bids had been received:

Bank of America, N. T. & S. A.

and Associates 6.1581% net interest

Bank of America, Agent for John

Nuveen & Co. 6.1970% net interest

Stern Brothers & Co. 6.34432% net interest

Mr. Pine recommended that the bid of Bank of America at a net interest rate of 6.1581% be accepted.

Mr. Smith noted that the representative of Bank of America had not actually delivered his bid until a new minutes past the advertised time of 10 A.M.; however, none of the bids had been opened prior to the actual delivery of the bid. He further noted that the Board may waive any irregularities. He therefore recommended that the bid of Bank of America be accepted as the best and lowest.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred in the above recommendation

and requested the following resolution be adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. 71-1

A RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE ISSUANCE BY THE BOARD OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA OF THE UNIVERSITY'S OBLIGATIONS DESIGNATED AS THE "UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FEE REVENUE BONDS, SERIES NOVEMBER 1, 1970", IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$1,200,000, FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING AND OTHERWISE ACQUIRING A COLLEGE OF EDUCATION BUILDING ON THE CAMPUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS THEREFOR, AND STRUCTURES, IMPROVEMENTS AND OTHER APPURTENANCES RELATING THERETO; ACCEPTING THE BEST BID FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE BONDS; DESIGNATING THE PURCHASER THEREOF, FIXING THE PRICE TO BE PAID THEREFOR, PROVIDING THE INTEREST RATES THE PRINCIPAL OF THE BONDS SHALL BEAR, AND PROVIDING OTHER DETAILS CONCERNING THE BONDS AND THE DESIGNATED IMPROVEMENTS; RATIFYING ACTION HERETOFORE TAKEN TOWARD ACQUIRING SUCH IMPROVEMENTS AND ISSUING THE BONDS; AND REPEALING ALL RESOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT HEREWITH.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried

without dissent that the bid of Bank of America be accepted
and the above resolution be adopted.

4. Continued Discussion on Transfer of Programs to Community

College Division

Mrs. Knudtsen requested the discussion of the transfer of
the Engineering programs to the Community College Division
be reopened, stating that she had been told that this
transfer would result in the programs losing their accredi-
tation.

President Miller agreed that the accreditation from the
professional accrediting organization would no longer apply.

Dr. Donnelly said he did not share that opinion, noting that
when you move a program the professional accreditation goes
with it and a review is conducted immediately afterward.

Dr. Zorn expressed the opinion that professional accredita-
tion is not important at the Community College level; it is
the institutional accreditation that is important.

Dean Anderson disagreed. He said he believed the accredita-

tion was very important to the graduates seeking employment.

Dr. Donnelly said he did not believe it would be logical to seek professional accreditation for all the individual programs which would be offered by the Community Colleges and that he would prefer to have a single institutional accreditation.

In response to a question from Mr. Steninger, Chancellor Humphrey stated that the possibility of loss of professional accreditation in these two programs would not cause him to change his recommendation since he agreed that institutional accreditation was the most important.

Mrs. Knudtsen withdrew her request that the matter be reopened.

Mr. Steninger moved that the Community College State Plan be adopted as amended. Motion seconded by Miss Thompson, carried without dissent.

5. American Indian Organization

President Miller introduced Mr. Edward Johnson, President,

American Indian Organization, UNR, who presented the following resolution:

RESOLUTION OF THE AMERICAN INDIAN ORGANIZATION
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

WHEREAS, the American Indian Organization of the University of Nevada, Reno is a duly organized and constituted body composed of students of the University and recognized and supported by the ASUN government, and

WHEREAS, one of the purposes of the organization is to improve the education of American Indian students, and

WHEREAS, the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada System administers a "co-educational land grant institution built in the American tradition of State Universities offering the opportunity of higher education to the deserving regardless of social status or wealth (and stressed that) unless implemented this statement of principle and intent is recognized as insufficient. Intensive recruitment of students from Nevada secondary schools includes the recruitment of

students from minority groups and from the economical-ly and culturally disadvantaged", and

WHEREAS, the fact that a recent study has revealed that 2/3 of those Indian students who were enrolled at the University as of September 1967 were no longer enrolled as of June 1970 emphasizes the Universities' failure to adequately assist Indian students, and

WHEREAS, there are more than 60 Indian students attending the University of Nevada, Reno, and only 20 Indian fee waivers, and

WHEREAS, there are very few courses offered each semester that provide any background on American Indian people, and those courses mandatory for many students only hastily sketch Indian history, and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the American Indian Organization hereby requests that the Board of Regents take the necessary steps to correct the existing situation, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Regents in

correcting the situation enlarge the Educational Opportunity Program under the office of the President by adding a full time counseling staff and including the Special Services' tutorial program. In addition all Indian funds, including fee waivers should be centralized in the Financial Aids Office, with the understanding that the Financial Aids Office will work closely with the Educational Opportunity Office in determining the distribution of those funds, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Regents shift unused Indian fee waivers from any and all Divisions to the Division with the most Indian students enrolled and increase the total number in order to meet increasing Indian enrollment, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Regents increase forthwith the number of Indian courses, including a contemporary Indian history course, in the curriculum, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Nevada Indian Affairs Commission, the Presidents Human Relations Commission, University of Nevada, Reno, the Nevada Inter-Tribal

Council, the Max C. Fleischman Foundation, the U. S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the U. S. Department of Health Education and Welfare be requested to help achieve the goals of this resolution.

I, the undersigned, as Chairman of the American Indian Organization do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted at a meeting held on the 2nd day of December, 1970.

Dated this 2nd day of December, 1970.

/s/ Edward C. Johnson

President

Chancellor Humphrey suggested that the Board might also find helpful the following information as included in Ref.

O of the agenda:

In 1964 the Board provided in-State fee waivers to Nevada resident students equal to 3% of the preceding Fall enrollment. Of this 3%, 20 waivers were allocated to "American Indian students who are Nevada residents or graduates of high schools in Nevada."

In 1967 the Board removed these waivers from the "3% category" and established a separate category of in-State fee waivers for Nevada resident Indians. It was assumed by the Administration that 20 waivers were authorized each year for UNR, subject to Board review periodically. No allocation was requested at that time by UNLV. In 1970, the Board authorized Elko Community College to grant waivers to 10 Indian students.

The Davis Scholarships provide up to \$50,000 per year to the Educational Opportunity Program at UNR, for scholarships of \$1000 per year for minority group students, with a stated goal for 1969-70 of recruiting into the UNR student body 20 additional Negro and 20 additional Indian students from Nevada. The report made to the Board in July, 1970 indicated 7 Indian students as recipients of EOP grants of Davis Scholarship money.

It was agreed that the discussion on the Administration of Scholarship Programs, scheduled for later in the meeting, was pertinent to the present discussion. Chancellor

Humphrey recalled that in July 1970 suggestions were made by Regents for policy statements governing administration of scholarship programs and the University Administration was requested to react. In October, and again in December, the Board granted extensions of time for consideration. Various reports have been presented, all of which were distributed in December.

Chancellor Humphrey submitted the following proposed statement, which he noted was an interpretation of the proposal introduced in July and which had been prepared in Board of Regents Handbook style for inclusion if adopted in that publication:

CHAPTER 20

ADMINISTRATION OF SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAMS

Section 1.

1. All scholarships, prizes and waivers of registration fees or tuition, except as noted below, shall be administered by the Scholarships and Prizes Board at UNR, the Office of Student Financial Aids and the Scholarship Committee at UNLV and the Fi-

nancial Aids Office at ECC, unless otherwise specified by the Board of Regents or the donor and approved by the Board of Regents.

2. Section 1 above shall not be applicable to those waivers awarded as a condition of employment with the University of Nevada System.

Section 2.

Scholarships and waivers at UNR and UNLV shall not be awarded to a student whose cumulative grade point average is below 2.0 or whose most recent semester grade point average is below 2.0 except that entering Freshmen students may receive a similar or reduced award their 2nd semester even if their 1st semester grade point average is below 2.0.

Section 3.

The following policies are applicable to the Bob Davis Scholarships and are consistent with the terms of the bequest:

1. Awards shall be made for one semester at a time and shall not exceed \$500 per recipient.

2. Recipients must be registered for at least 12 credits for the semester covered by the award.

3. Recipients must be Nevada residents; first priority will be given to those born in Nevada and second priority to those not born in Nevada but who have graduated from Nevada high schools.

4. Nominations for scholarships may be made by the faculty, the Director of the Educational Opportunity Program, or others.

President Miller commented on the resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson and also on the statement submitted by the Chancellor. He noted that UNR is proposing that a single faculty/student advisory policy committee be set up which would deal with matters of policy concerning the total financial aids package. The 3 Administrators presently involved (i. e., Chairman of Scholarships and Prizes, Director of Financial Aids, and Director of Educational Opportunity Program) would continue to operate the pro-

grams but their efforts will be coordinated by the policy board. He asked that the above policy statement be amended to indicate "Financial Aid and Scholarships Board" at UNR (rather than Scholarships and Prizes Board).

Dr. Anderson suggested the discussion concern the entire System rather than one Division and offered a recommendation for referral to the University Administration which would establish a Board and 3 committees to administer all student financial aid programs. (Dr. Anderson's recommendation filed with permanent minutes.) Dr. Anderson suggested that a response to this recommendation be returned to the Board in March or April.

Mr. Knudtsen moved that the policy statement submitted by Chancellor Humphrey, as amended by President Miller, be approved for inclusion in the Board of Regents Handbook. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi.

President Zorn stated that he believed UNLV to be in conformity with the policy statement as submitted.

Mrs. Knudtsen expanded her motion to include referral to the Presidents of Dr. Anderson's recommendation and the

resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson. Dr. Lombardi concurred.

President Miller stated that he agreed with the points in Mr. Johnson's resolution. He noted that consolidation of all financial aids into one board would resolve one of the problems Mr. Johnson refers to and extensive planning for an ethnic studies program will occur during the Spring. He agreed that UNR needs more counseling for Indian students.

Mr. Knudtsen's motion carried without dissent.

6. Acceptance of Gifts

Gifts and grants were recommended for acceptance as indicated in Ref. A filed with permanent minutes.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent that the gifts be accepted as presented.

7. Report of Personnel Actions

Approval of the following personnel appointments was recommended by the appropriate officers:

UNLV

COLLEGE OF ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONS

Ramon B. Pence, Adjunct Professor of Radiologic Technology,

2/1/71-6/30/71

STUDENT PERSONNEL SERVICES

Keith C. Zobel, Assistant to the Dean of Students, 12/15/70-

6/15/71 - \$4035

UNR

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

Betty Manhart, Instructor in Home Economics, 2/1/71-6/30/71

- \$4500

NATIONAL COUNCIL OF JUVENILE COURT JUDGES

Jacqueline Belmont, Manager, Membership Services, 12/1/70-

6/30/71 - \$4226.25

Marie R. Mildon, Manager, Administrative Services, 12/1/70-6/30/71 - \$4226.25

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Morris, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

8. UNLV Accreditation Report

President Zorn reported that UNLV has been granted full re-accreditation by the Northwest Association of Secondary and Higher Schools. This reaccreditation implies a period of 10 years with a progress report required at the end of 5 years.

Dr. Zorn stated that he would send a copy of the report to all Regents plus a copy of the report by the University Committee on University Development.

9. Award of Bid in Excess of \$5000

President Zorn reported that after permission had been obtained from the State Printer, the following bids were opened December 15, 1970, for printing the 1971-72 under-

graduate catalog.

Advance Printing, Las Vegas	\$17,600
Allied Printing, Las Vegas	15,456
Mayhew Ltd., Las Vegas	13,437
Peninsula Litho, Menlo Park, Calif.	11,774
Parker & Son, Los Angeles, Calif.	11,330

President Zorn recommended approval of the bid of Parker & Son. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Mc Dermott, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

10. Authorization to Proceed on Land Sale

President Zorn reported that the Clark County School District has expressed interest in the purchase of 10 acres of land owned by the Board of Regents. The land is part of 54 acres owned by the University located northwesterly of the intersection of Las Vegas Boulevard North and Lamb Boulevard, near the City of North Las Vegas. The original gift specified that the proceeds be dedicated to the UNLV College of Business.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the Administration be authorized to proceed with the appraisals of the property and, when completed, an appropriate resolution be presented to the Board in conformity with NRS 277.050 which stipulates the procedure for intergovernmental sale of land.

Motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

11. UNS Environmental Studies Program

Dr. Ward reported that Mr. Joseph Warburton, Deputy Director of DRI, serves as Chairman of a 6-member UNS committee to plan a System Environmental Studies Program and, on behalf of the committee, has requested that \$500 be made available for Reno-Las Vegas committee travel and to defray costs of preparation of proposals in environmental studies to Federal agencies.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the requested \$500 be made available from the Board of Regents Special Projects Fund.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

12. Approval of Fund Transfers

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval of the following transfers of funds:

\$21,745 from the UNR Contingency Reserve to the Classified and Technical Salary Adjustment Account. In developing the 1970-71 Work Program, \$178,275 was reserved to fund the \$50 per month classified and technical employee salary adjustment approved by the Legislature effective July 1, 1970. The actual cost to implement this adjustment was \$200,020 making it necessary to transfer an additional \$21,745.

\$36,575 from the UNR Contingency Reserve to the Graduate Fellowship Salary Adjustment Account. Graduate Fellowships (Assistants) are Work Programmed at \$2500 each. The salaries paid are \$2500 for first year, \$2700 for second year and \$2900 for third year fellowships. The actual difference between the amount budgeted and the contracts issued to Graduate Fellows is

\$46,576; the amount budgeted for the difference is \$10,000, making it necessary to transfer an additional \$36,575.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

13. Approval of Purchases in Excess of \$5000

A. Mr. Pine reported that the following bids were opened

December 15, 1970 for bakery products:

Welsh's Bakery, Reno	\$ 5,848.10
Rainbow Baking Co., Sacramento	5,565.10
Continental Baking, Sacramento	5,742.90

A 5% in-State preference to Welsh's Bakery would establish its bid as an equivalent of \$5,555.70.

President Miller recommended award to Welsh's Bakery.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

B. Mr. Pine reported that the following bids were opened

December 15, 1970 for poultry products:

Reno Food Distributors, Reno \$ 6,536.00

Cal-Vada Sales, Reno 6,729.00

Monarch Foods, Reno 7,464.00

President Miller recommended award to Reno Food Distributors. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mrs. Knutdsen, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

C. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened December 15,

1970 for dairy products. Bids were received from 3

companies; however, 2 companies withdrew their bids

because of Dairy Commission regulations. The remain-

ing bid was:

Beatrice Foods, Reno \$30,162.07

President Miller recommended award to Beatrice Foods.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Mc Dermott, seconded by Mr. Bilbray,
carried without dissent that the above recommendation
be approved.

D. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened December 17,
1970 for 114 grocery items. Bids should be awarded
on a low per item basis as follows:

	Items	Amount
Abbott Supply Co., Sparks	54	\$15,711.26
Monarch Foods, Reno	44	7,474.74
Standard Brands, Reno	4	1,425.70
Sierra Foods, Sparks	2	1,236.15
M & R Products, Sparks	4	819.40
Continental Coffee Co., Reno	6	333.97
Total	114	\$27,001.22

President Miller recommended award to the above listed
bidders on the low items basis. Chancellor Humphrey
concurred.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

14. Updating the Ten Year Plan

Chancellor Humphrey noted that State Law requires that a Ten Year Plan for the University be presented to each regular session of the Legislature 10 days after it convenes. He noted that a draft copy of the updating of the original plan filed in 1969 had been included with the agenda and requested that Mr. K. D. Jessup, Institutional Studies Officer, review the document.

Mr. Jessup reviewed the format and contents of the document, responding to questions raised by the Regents. (Text of Mr. Jessup's presentation on file in Chancellor's Office.)

Chancellor Humphrey reviewed Chapter VII of the draft document, dealing with Sources of Revenue, noting that the revenue from student fees is calculated by assuming that the annual fees for each resident student taking more than 6 credits per semester would be equal to 15% of the previous

years cost per FTE students and each non-resident student enrolled in more than 6 credits would pay 75% of the previous years cost per FTE student. The cost components which compose the base for these calculations are instruction and departmental research, library, administration and general expense, and operation and maintenance of physical plant.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval of the document with the understanding that it will be subject to continuous change as it goes through a regular updating process. He noted the necessity of adopting it now in draft form so that a final document might be prepared for submission to the Legislature on January 29, 1971.

In the discussion following, it was agreed that adjustments would be made in the enrollment projections to reflect the actions taken earlier to transfer certain programs to the Community College Division and that clarifying statements would be added to the narrative to reflect the possibility of an increase in the capture rate by UNLV of Clark County High School graduates because of the increase in physical plant on that Campus. It was also agreed that an informational statement would be included concerning the share of

the building program costs which will be borne by the students through the increase in the capital improvement fee.

Motion by Mr. Mc Dermott, seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent that the draft updating of the Ten Year Plan be approved with the amendments as discussed.

15. Capital Improvement Fee Increase, Effective Fall, 1971

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in December the Board voted to increase the Capital Improvement Fee for full-time students from \$42 to \$84 per semester, effective Fall 1971. He noted that it was implicit in the revenue tables, but not explicitly stated, that the Capital Improvement Fee for part-time students would also be increased from \$3 to \$6 per credit. Chancellor Humphrey recommended that this action be affirmed.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

16. Resolution Concerning Daniel R. Walsh

Chancellor Humphrey submitted the following resolution with
his recommendation for adoption:

RESOLUTION #71-2

WHEREAS, Mr. Daniel R. Walsh has, while serving as
Chief Deputy Attorney General of the State of Nevada,
served as legal counsel to the University of Nevada
for the past 7 years; and

WHEREAS, he has, through much diligence and dedicated
work, proven himself to be well qualified to advise
in the areas of University Law; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Walsh has now left public office and
plans to continue his future professional activities
to private law practice;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of
Regents of the University of Nevada does hereby com-
mend Mr. Walsh for the excellence of his service to
the University;

AND, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the members of the

Board of Regents and the Administration of the University do extend most sincere good wishes to Mr. Walsh for continued success in his professional career.

Given in Las Vegas, this 8th day of January, Nineteen Hundred and Seventy One.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be adopted as submitted.

17. University of Nevada System Optional Retirement Program
(TIAA-CREF)

Chancellor Humphrey reported that his office has been pursuing the possibilities of employees making contributions to TIAA-CREF through salary reduction as well as the present provisions of salary deduction. This option of making the contribution by salary reduction would, in effect, be similar to the tax sheltered annuities program previously approved by the Board of Regents, and would be a definite benefit to participating employees by allowing them to avoid, for the present, income taxation on their contribution. An Attorney General's letter of advice was

received December 24, 1970, approving the offering of the salary reduction program as an option.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that he be authorized to proceed with notification of the faculty of this option and the distribution of the necessary forms for completion by those choosing to participate.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

18. Gift of Land to DRI

Dr. Ward reported that Mr. and Mrs. Sulo Maki have given a parcel of land to the University for use by DRI. The land consists of lots 1 and 11, Longacres Park, Tract No. 1. These lots total approximately 1 acre and are situated across from the UNLV Campus on Maryland Parkway.

Director Ward and Chancellor Humphrey recommended acceptance.

Motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent that the gift be accepted.

19. Extension of CSUN Constitution

Mr. Myers requested that, in view of the fact that it has proven impractical to schedule student elections prior to the February Board of Regents meeting, the CSUN constitution be extended until March 13, or the March meeting of the Board. President Zorn endorsed the request.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

The meeting adjourned at 2:00 P.M.

(Mrs.) Bonnie M. Smotony

Secretary to the Board

01-07-1971